

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,544	10/04/2000	Gordon Margulieux	10002221-1	1131	
7590 03/16/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BARNES, CRYSTAL J		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599		2121			
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/680,544	MARGULIEUX, GORDON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Crystal J. Barnes	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	ctober 2000.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9,11-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8,10,16 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.				
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2000</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the end of the</li></ul>	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2121

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is an initial Office Action upon examination of the aboveidentified application on the merits. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference numbers 200 in figure 2, 400 in figure 4, 500 in figure 5, and 600, 602, 603, 605 in figure 6 are not mentioned in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the information pertaining to the related application (see page 1) needs to be corrected/updated; reference character "305" has been used to designate both

"user data line code" on page 12 line 16 and "data line code" in figure 3; reference character "306" has been used to designate both "control data line code" on page 12 line 16 and "control line code" in figure 3; reference character "307" has been used to designate both "power data line code" on page 12 line 17 and "power line code" in figure 3, and "step 210" on page 16 line 10 is not shown in figure 6. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 4. that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 9, 13, 14, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,383,137 to Burch.

As per claim 1, the Burch reference discloses a method for emulating an operation of a dynamically reconfigurable computer system, the method comprising the steps of providing operational data communication; and employing said provided

Art Unit: 2121

operational data to control an operation (see column 5 lines 11-12, "control operation") of an emulated device (see column 5 lines 20-25, "timer circuit 20, input/output circuit 28") at said emulator ("emulation system 10").

As per claim 2, the Burch reference discloses further comprising the step of providing user data communication (see column 5 lines 11-12, "user to input information") between said host device ("computer 5") and said emulator ("emulation system 10").

As per claim 3, the Burch reference discloses said step of providing operational data comprises the step of establishing a power level for said operation (see column 5 lines 41-44, "voltage level") of said emulated device ("timer circuit 20, input/output circuit 28").

As per claim 4, the Burch reference discloses further comprising the step of adjusting the operation of said emulated device ("timer circuit 20, input/output circuit 28") according to said established power level (see column 5 lines 45-51, "voltage level").

As per claim 9, the Burch reference discloses said step of providing operational data communication comprises the step of establishing an address (see column 5 lines 64-67, "address") at said host device (see column 5 lines 4-6,

Art Unit: 2121

"computer 5") to which said emulated device ("bus drivers 22, 26, 30") is connected.

As per claim 13, the Burch reference discloses said step of providing operational data communication comprises the step of enabling control of at least one component (see column 9 lines 11-13, "code") within said emulated device (see column 6 lines 15-30, "input/output circuit 28, timer 20") by said host device ("computer 5").

As per claim 14, the Burch reference discloses a system for emulating an operation of a peripheral device, the system comprising a host device (see column 5 lines 3-6, "computer 5"); an emulator ("emulation system 10") connected to said host device ("computer 5"); and at least one control data line ("conductor 11") deployed between said host device ("computer 5") and said emulator ("emulation system 10").

As per claim 18, the rejections of claims 1 and 2 are incorporated and further claim 18 contains limitations recited in claims 1 and 2; therefore claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale as claims 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 2121

As per claim 19, the rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and further claim 19 contains limitations recited in claim 3; therefore claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 3.

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,768,563 to Porter et al.

As per claim 14, the Porter et al. reference discloses a system for emulating an operation of a peripheral device, the system comprising a host device (see column 4 lines 61-65, "target system 19"); an emulator ("ROM emulator 10") connected to said host device ("target system 19"); and at least one control data line ("socket/header connection 11, bus 120") deployed between said host device ("target system 19") and said emulator ("ROM emulator 10").

As per claim 15, the Porter et al. reference discloses at least one power data line (see column 8 lines 44-45, "power bus 121") deployed between said host device ("target system 19") and said emulator ("emulator 10").

Page 6

Art Unit: 2121

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,383,137 to Burch in view of USPN 5,751,942 to Christensen et al.

As per claim 11, the Burch reference does not expressly disclose said step of providing operational data communication comprises the step of providing a fault detect signal to said host device to indicate a fault condition with said emulated device.

The Christensen et al. reference discloses

(see column 4 lines 25-30, "... upon occurrence of a trace event during incircuit emulation, on-chip logic 170 can provide trace fault information to host system 10...")

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the emulation system taught by the Burch

Art Unit: 2121

reference with the in-circuit emulation taught by the Christensen et al. reference to provide fault information.

Page 8

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide fault information to facilitate software debugging.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,383,137 to Burch in view of USPN 6,002,864 to Heyman.

As per claim 12, the Burch reference does not expressly disclose said step of providing operational data communication comprises the step of dynamically detecting a connection of said emulator to said host device.

The Heyman reference discloses

(see column 2 lines 43-47, "... temporary, dynamically assigned device names ... each time the terminal emulator device establishes a connection with the host computer.")

(see column 2 lines 53-57, "... enabling emulation protocols ... to specify device names ... within a client/host system ...")

(see column 8 lines 40-43, "Terminal emulator devices ... "auto sign-on" function is to be enabled.")

Art Unit: 2121

(see column 8 lines 52-57, "... communication interface software waits for a response ... whether the connection was established. If the connection was established correctly ...")

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the emulation system taught by the Burch reference to include the emulation protocol taught by the Heyman reference to enable communication between an emulator and a host.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to enable communication between an emulator and a host to facilitate device testing by means of direct addressing.

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,383,137 to Burch.

As per claim 17, the Burch reference discloses said at least one control line comprises a motor control line (see column 5 lines 64-67, "control information") for activating a component ("bus drivers 22, 26, 30") within a device emulated ("timer circuit 20, input/output circuit 28") by said emulator ("emulation system 10").

The Burch reference does not expressly disclose a motor control line.

Art Unit: 2121

However, it would have been logically to one of ordinary skill in the art to include other circuits which emulate specific functions performed by target microcontroller.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the emulation system taught by the Burch reference to include a motor control circuit.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a motor control circuit to emulate specific motor control functions performed by the target microcontroller.

#### Conclusion

- 11. Claims 5-8, 10, 16 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to emulation in general:

Art Unit: 2121

USPN 5,539,901 to Ramirez

USPN 5,953,516 to Bonola

USPN 6,684,182 B1 to Gold et al.

USPN 6,571,305 B1 to Engler

JPPN 4-317138 A to WATANABE

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal J. Barnes whose telephone number is 703.306.5448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri can be reached on 703.305.0282. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2121

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cjb 11 March 2004

RAMESH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Toy Anil